

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/877,259	SCHMIDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 71

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) Brion P. Heaney {Reg. No. 32,542}.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2006
Time: 11:03am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, first paragraph

Claims discussed:

19 and 20


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicants' representative and left a voice mail message requesting permission to cancel claims 19 and 20. On Tuesday, August 15, 2006 @1:18pm, Mr. Heaney called the Examiner to give her permission to cancel claims 19 and 20.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)